

THE CRAFTING OF LOCAL COMMUNITIES PARTNERSHIP



TOWARDS INTEGRATED COASTAL MANAGEMENT Ocean Policy Research Foundation Forum. Tokyo, 7 October 2009 Yves Henocque, IFREMER-OPRF Visiting Fellow











AIMING AT SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT THROUGH

INTEGRATED COASTAL MANAGEMENT

Adaptive management

Plan, implement, assess, and re-do

Integration and inter-relationships

Linking for better coherence

Ecosystem-based management

Ecosystem services-Human well-being relationship (Nutrients recycling / Nori culture/ Fishers' livelihood)

(Seascape / Historic and cultural scenery)











Promoting meaningful public participation

The partner's give-and-take (e.g. fisheries)

Fishers want the rights to manage their resources; are they ready to manage their ecosystem ?

Doing that their attitude and compliance also need to change in regard to the social-ecological system

communities should not wait for the perfect enabling environment but become agents of change by spontaneously supplying their own management institutions and networking with government agencies and other communities

Promoting meaningful public participation

Build-up ownership

Create opportunities using existing meeting points Encourage interactions between different groups Feedback what you have been said Follow-up actions for a lasting and deeper sense of ownership Integrate technical and process considerations Make accessible, informative and well-presented communication products and capacity building material





GOVERNMENT AND CIVIL SOCIETY

The performance of a provincial government is somehow very closely related to the civic character of social and political life within the province (Putnam, 1994. About Italy Regions)

Provinces with many civic associations, many newspaper readers, many issue-oriented voters, and few patron-client networks seem to nourish more effective governments

→ Provincial politics: clientelistic / programmatic

Has sustainable coastal development the same meaning for everybody ?

NGOs concerned that **conservation** will happen as long as it did not affect economic development

Private sector including fisheries express the opposite that nature conservation would take precedence over **economic development** and large areas of the sea would be closed to activity

The need for a **cost-benefit analysis** based on sound science

Need for appropriate and « **fit for purpose » scientific data** for policy making.



DIFFERENT GROUPS, DIFFERENT PERCEPTIONS... COMMON LANGUAGE ?...

SHARING THE SAME INFORMATION...

(Based on existing data)

TO START NEGOTIATING WITH THE SAME OVERALL VISION OF THE SYSTEM











EVI by SOPAC (South Pacific Applied Geoscience Commission)

IRI	Intrinsic Resilience Index Natural resilience of a
innate 5 indicators	system based on its innate characteristics; characteristics of natural systems that make them more or less able to cope with natural or anthropogenic hazards.
EDI	Environmental Degradation Index Damages
environment 10 indicators	sustained by the natural systems as a signal to predict how well those systems might (extrinsic resilience) be able to resist damages from future hazards.
REI	Risk Exposure Index Frequency and intensity of
risk 18 indicators	potential risks of natural and anthropogenic hazards.

























FOCUSING ON SPECIFIC ISSUES

Political context

New national policy involving government regional offices and prefectural administration

Institutional mechanism

Organization chart of prefectures' administration Communication channels between sectoral divisions At regional level: the most effective inter-prefectures collaboration mechanism ?

Integration of knowledge

Collaboration between the scientific community and stakeholders ? Awareness and actual transfer of knowledge

Partnership and participation

How each group is organized ? Where do they meet or don't ? A common long-term vision ?





